Networking or *not* working? How can we make networking really work?

Andrew Gibbons in conversation with Jon Bowles

One of 100 recordings

Summary of content with timings

| 1.35 | A lot of people claim to be active networkers, then when you drill down into that it gets a bit 'woolly'. |
|-------|---|
| 2.15 | Fundamentally, for networking to be effective, it must have purpose, with clear outcomes in mind. |
| 2.45 | Networking should never be aimless, nor should it be aimless or random, outcomes should be clear. |
| 3.50 | One aim from networking may be to learn from others, and much of this is mutually developmental. |
| 6.00 | We should be careful and selective about the investment of time in networking – it can be wasteful. |
| 6.40 | Networking one-to-one can be best for working on mutually helpful specific issues than in groups. |
| 7.15 | Networking often means 'meetings' or gatherings of like-minded people – that's not how I network. |
| 7.35 | Although potential value may be greater in groups, I find networking value diminishes and dilutes. |
| 7.50 | My personal approach to networking is focused on the specific value I can gain from individuals. |
| 8.20 | When I identify someone from whom I can learn, I often make a 'cold approach' and tell them that. |
| 8.40 | My network feeds me insights and sources of tangible value I wouldn't get from any other means. |
| 9.25 | A different value comes from opinions, beliefs and practices being challenged – maybe in groups! |
| 10.55 | Maybe more on-on-one networking is going on than is recognised as being as being that. |
| 11.00 | "Exchange of information and ideas among people with a common profession or special interest". |
| 12.00 | When working as a pair there is more focus on specific interests, in groups a wider range of options. |
| 12.55 | One value that comes from large groups is the chance to network with more on a one-to-one basis. |
| 15.30 | In groups of more than two, we can't focus solely on our specific interests, which can lessen value. |
| 17.00 | The only cost with networking is time, which of course has a value – it can be time very well spent. |
| 18.35 | One-on-one conversations focusing on priority needs can be the most cost-effective way to learn. |
| 18.55 | Something I have done very consciously lately is to retrieve lapsed people back into my network. |
| 19.30 | It's been really worthwhile re-connecting with stimulating, informed, interesting people. |
| 20.00 | Don't give up on 'lost' people, if they value the relationship as you do they'll welcome re-connection. |
| 22.15 | Tangible benefits resulting directly from networking rarely happen instantly - may need time to show. |
| 23.20 | Cost incurring networking groups may be very beneficial, or may not be worth what is spent on them. |
| 24.00 | Sometimes organised events are more akin to selling than developmental networking. |

| 25.30 | Strong networking extends capabilities, knowledge and competences through contact with others. |
|-------|--|
| 28.55 | This conversation is helping me to see the pattern of my preferred way of networking. |
| 29.50 | There is no compulsion to be my networking friend – I get approached declined for various reasons. |
| 30.20 | People who only use networking groups and collectives may be missing out on one-to-one benefits. |
| 31.55 | Networking is of little value if it is passive, the best networkers are active and purposeful. |
| 32.25 | Networking is only about 'taking', it works best when, over time it is mutually worthwhile for all. |
| 33.15 | A potentially valuable networking approach starts with a hope not an expectation of value resulting. |
| 34.10 | Over a period of time if we are not getting value from a networking contact we typically drift away. |
| 34.30 | Some networking relationships burn intensely and are short term, others last years, and burn slower |
| 35.40 | I have tangible evidence of benefiting from the knowledge of people my networking has gleaned. |
| 34.50 | A brief explanation of the Dunning-Kruger Effect - an example of learning prompted by networking. |
| 38.25 | My network knows things I don't - I can extend what I know and can do beyond normal parameters. |
| 39.10 | Knowing the knowledge bank and skills set of those with whom we network can guide our efforts. |
| 41.00 | Networking is not about sucking people dry of all they know and can do – it's important to give too. |
| 42.10 | Networking is not abstract, or conceptual, it's real, it has substance, it's about outcomes. |
| 42.40 | Too many people seem to think networking is mostly a social activity, drink coffee, have a chat |
| 43.20 | Reinforcing earlier thoughts, networking should be a long term, outcome driven, reciprocal process. |
| 44.00 | As a learning and development method, targeted, purposeful networking is a very efficient method. |
| 45.30 | When it works well, networking is a process you can control and direct to gain the maximum value. |
| 46.00 | Conventional training courses can't provide the personal learning that focused networking delivers. |
| 46.40 | Secondary level networking means accessing the networks of others with whom we network! |
| 47.00 | The more actively we network, the more people we have access to who know people we don't. |